## **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055

Email: ConsumerRights@BarshaySanders.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 113534

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Grace Wrightington,

Docket No:

Plaintiff,

**COMPLAINT** 

VS.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NCC Business Services, Inc.,

Defendant.

Grace Wrightington (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned counsel, complains, states and alleges against NCC Business Services, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to recover for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. This Court has federal subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant conducted business within the State of New York.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Grace Wrightington is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York residing in Suffolk County, New York.
  - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant NCC Business Services, Inc., is a New York Corporation with a principal place of business in New York County, New York.
- 8. Defendant is regularly engaged, for profit, in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
  - 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

### **ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("the Debt").
- 11. The Debt was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. Sometime after the incurrence of the Debt, Plaintiff fell behind on payments owed.
- 13. Thereafter, at an exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 14. In its efforts to collect the debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by letter ("the Letter") dated April 25, 2017. ("Exhibit 1.")
  - 15. The Letter was the initial communication Plaintiff received from Defendant.
  - 16. The Letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

# FIRST COUNT Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g Validation of Debts

- 17. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 18. 15 U.S.C. § 1692g provides that within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing certain enumerated information.

- 19. One such requirement is that the debt collector provides "the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed." 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2).
- 20. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to convey such clearly.
- 21. A debt collector has the obligation not just to convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed, but also to state such explicitly.
- 22. Merely naming the creditor without specifically identifying the entity as the current creditor to whom the debt is owed is not sufficient to comply with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2).
- 23. Even if a debt collector conveys the required information, the debt collector nonetheless violates the FDCPA if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty.
- 24. When determining whether the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed has been conveyed clearly, an objective standard, measured by how the "least sophisticated consumer" would interpret the notice, is applied.
- 25. In the "Account Summary" box, the Letter lists an "Original Creditor" of SYNCHRONY BANK/TJX Rewards Credit Card.
- 26. Also in the "Account Summary" box, the Letter lists a "Current Creditor" of SYNCHRONY BANK/TJX Rewards.
- 27. The body of the Letter otherwise makes no reference to either "SYNCHRONY BANK" or "TJX Rewards Credit Card."
- 28. The Letter fails to identify by name and label any entity as "creditor," "original creditor," "current creditor," "account owner," or "creditor to whom the debt is owed."
- 29. The Letter states, "This account has been referred to our offices for collections by the above-named creditor."
- 30. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be confused as to whether the creditor to whom the debt is owed is "SYNCHRONY BANK" or "TJX Rewards Credit Card."
- 31. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be uncertain as to whether the creditor to whom the debt is owed is "SYNCHRONY BANK" or "TJX Rewards Credit Card."
- 32. The least sophisticated consumer would not know whether they "above-named creditor" is "SYNCHRONY BANK" or "TJX Rewards Credit Card."

- 33. Defendant failed to explicitly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 34. Defendant failed to clearly state the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 35. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be confused as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 36. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be uncertain as to the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.
- 37. Defendant violated § 1692g as it failed to clearly and explicitly convey the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed.

#### **SECOND COUNT**

# Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e False or Misleading Representations as to the Name of the Creditor to Whom the Debt is Owed

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 39. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e prohibits a debt collector from using any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 40. While § 1692e specifically prohibits certain practices, the list is non-exhaustive, and does not preclude a claim of falsity or deception based on any non-enumerated practice.
- 41. The question of whether a collection letter is deceptive is determined from the perspective of the "least sophisticated consumer."
- 42. A collection letter is deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate.
- 43. A collection letter is also deceptive under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e if it is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer.
- 44. For purposes of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, the failure to clearly and accurately identify the creditor to whom the debt is owed is unfair and deceptive to the least sophisticated consumer.
- 45. The identity of creditor to whom the debt is owed is a material piece of information to a consumer.
  - 46. Knowing the identity of creditor to whom the debt is owed affects how a

consumer responds to a debt collector's attempts to collect the debt.

- 47. Because the Letter can reasonably be read by the least sophisticated consumer to have two or more meanings, one of which is inaccurate, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 48. Because the Letter is reasonably susceptible to an inaccurate reading by the least sophisticated consumer, as described, it is deceptive within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
  - 49. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived by the Letter.
- 50. The least sophisticated consumer would likely be deceived in a material way by the Letter.
- 51. Defendant violated § 1692e by using a false, deceptive and misleading representation in its attempt to collect a debt.

### **JURY DEMAND**

52. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

- a. Damages against Defendant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- b. Plaintiff's attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- c. Plaintiff's costs; all together with
- d. Such other relief that the Court determines is just and proper.

DATED: April 25, 2018

### **BARSHAY SANDERS, PLLC**

By: \_/s/ Craig B. Sanders Craig B. Sanders, Esq. 100 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500 Garden City, New York 11530

Tel: (516) 203-7600 Fax: (516) 706-5055 BARSHAY | SANDERS PILC 100 GARDEN CITY PLAZA, SUITE 500 GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530

csanders@barshaysanders.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Our File No.: 113534